

Affine Hecke categories and affine Deligne-Lusztig theory

(§§ 4.2-4.3-4.4)

Recall notation from chap 3 (cf. talk by Benjamin)

(Not clear why this is necessary)

F non archimedean local field

$\mathcal{O}_F$ ring of integers. $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_F$ uniformizer

k_F residue field

k alg. closure of $k_F \leftarrow$ all stacks are defined over k .

G connected reductive gp over F . ~~For simplicity we assume~~
~~quasi-split which splits over a tamely ramified extension~~
~~that G splits over F so we have a canonical $\mathbb{Q}$ -model~~
 ~~G over $\mathcal{O}_F$ max parahoric subgroup and I (Iwahori gp scheme)~~
 We choose a pinning $(B, T, e) \rightarrow$ gives us K (special

Loop group: $LG : \begin{cases} k\text{-algebras} & \rightarrow Gps \\ R & \mapsto G(W_0(R)[\frac{1}{\pi}]) \end{cases}$

~~maximal parahoric subgroup I of G and a local K subgroup~~

~~defined over $\mathcal{O}_F$ and K is maximal parahoric subgroup~~

~~I of G~~ . We set $Iw = L^+ I : \begin{cases} k\text{-algebras} & \rightarrow Gps \\ R & \mapsto I(W_0(R)) \end{cases}$

(trans over k) $\downarrow$
 We have $Iw = \mathcal{S}_k \times Iw^u$ (pro-unipotent)

here $\mathcal{S}_k$ maximally $\check{F}$ -split torus, $\mathcal{S}$ Néron model over $\mathcal{O}_F$, the base change to k .

Affine Hecke categories

(§ 4.2 ~~4.3-4.4~~)

① We consider

$$Iw \backslash LG / Iw = B(Iw) \times_{B(LG)} B(Iw)$$

Here $B(Iw) \rightarrow B(LG)$ is an ind- pfp morph. ~~after~~
~~ind- pfp over k~~ (because LG/Iw is an ind-scheme

ind- pfp over k) - so $\text{Shv}(Iw \backslash LG / Iw)$ has a monoidal structure. Called unipotent affine Hecke category
 (by general convolution formalism)

Prop. (4.41) (1)

(1) $\mathrm{Shv}(I_w \backslash \mathrm{LG} / I_w)$ is compactly generated, ~~by the~~ with compact objects which satisfy one of the following equiv. cond:

- $\text{those } w \in \tilde{W}, \quad i_w^* F \in \mathrm{Shv}(I_w \backslash \mathrm{FL}_w) = \mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{BSt}_k)$ is compact, and $i_w^* F = 0$ for all but finitely many w 's

seems false: need to assume F is supported on a finite union of Schubert var.

(2) $\mathrm{Shv}(I_w \backslash \mathrm{LG} / I_w)$ is semi-rigid $\mathbb{A}^1$ -linear.

(3) For every X prestack over k ,

$$\mathrm{Shv}(I_w \backslash \mathrm{LG} / I_w) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathrm{Shv}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Shv}(I_w \backslash \mathrm{LG} / I_w \times X).$$

Remark: $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{BSt}_k)$ is compactly generated. But in $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{BSt}_k)$, Λ is NOT compact. A typical compact object is $(pt \rightarrow pt/\mathrm{St}_k)! \Lambda$. In particular the unit of $\mathrm{Shv}(I_w \backslash \mathrm{LG} / I_w)$ is not compact, so this is NOT rigid.

Idea of proof:

(1) ~~Compact generation follows from a general statement~~ (very placed stack) $\downarrow$ Ex. 10.117

~~Prop. 10.114~~ This follows from the fact that $\mathrm{Shv}(I_w \backslash \mathrm{LG} / I_w) = \mathrm{colim}_w \mathrm{Shv}(I_w \backslash \mathrm{FL}_w / I_w)$ with suitable atlas

[Prop. 10.114: $\mathrm{Shv}(X)$ comp. gen. if X very placed stack with suitable atlas, hence dualizable.]

(2) The category is compactly generated, hence dualizable. Linearity of the right adjoint to multiplication follows from Lemma 1 in Quen's notes. [Prop. 8.67]

(3) Using localization sequences, the proof reduces to the similar statement for $\mathrm{Shv}(I_w \backslash \mathrm{LG} / I_w) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathrm{Shv}(X)$, which reduces to a statement for $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathrm{BSt}_k) \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathrm{Shv}(X)$ for appropriate H_w , proved as Prop. 10.109. $\square$

Characterization of compact objects is similar to the corresponding claim for Isocg

② We also consider

(maybe not unequal char?)

$$\text{Shv}_{f.g.}(I_w \backslash LG/I_w) \subset \text{Shv}(I_w \backslash LG/I_w)$$

(small unipotent affine Hecke category)
Grothendieck description:

For $w \in \tilde{W}$ (affine Weyl gp) we have $i_w: \text{Schubert variety } (I_w\text{-orbit, isom. to } A_k^{l(w)}) \subset I_w \backslash LG/I_w$

Set

$\Delta_w := \text{[scribbled out]}$	$(i_w)! \omega_{I_w \backslash LG/I_w}[-l(w)]$
$\nabla_w := \text{[scribbled out]}$	$(i_w)_* \omega_{I_w \backslash LG/I_w}[-l(w)]$

Fact: $\text{Shv}_{f.g.}(I_w \backslash LG/I_w) =$ smallest idempotent complete stable subcategory of $\text{Shv}(I_w \backslash LG/I_w)$ containing the objects $(\Delta_w : w \in \tilde{W})$

$$= \overline{\langle \nabla_w : w \in \tilde{W} \rangle}$$

we will also study $\text{IndShv}_{f.g.}(I_w \backslash LG/I_w)$

(big unipotent affine Hecke category).

Convolution preserves $\text{Shv}_{f.g.}$ so we get a monoidal structure
[More formally, $B(I_w)$ is a very placid stack, cf. Ex 10.117 - $B(LG)$ is a sifted very placid stack because $B(I_w) \rightarrow B(LG)$ is ind-ffp proper. So we can consider $\text{IndShv}_{f.g.}$ on them. Moreover since $B(I_w)$ is ind-very placid and $B(I_w) \rightarrow B(LG)$ is ind-ffp we are ok, cf. §10.6.5, §10.5.4, Ex. 10.136]

~~$(*) (a) \text{Shv}(I_w \backslash LG/I_w) \subset \text{IndShv}(I_w \backslash LG/I_w)$~~
 ~~$(b) \text{if } w \in \tilde{W} \text{ then } i_w^* \text{IndShv}(I_w \backslash LG/I_w) \subset \text{Shv}(I_w \backslash LG/I_w) = \text{Shv}(B(I_w))$~~

Prop. (4.41(2))

(1) $\mathrm{IndShv}_{fg}(\mathrm{Iw} \backslash \mathrm{LG} / \mathrm{Iw})$ is compactly generated, with compact objects those which satisfy one of the following equiv. conditions:

- $\forall w \in \tilde{W}$, $i_w^* F \in \mathrm{IndShv}_{fg}(\mathrm{Iw} \backslash \mathrm{LG}_w / \mathrm{Iw}) \simeq \mathrm{IndShv}_{fg}(\mathrm{BSt}_k)$ is compact, and $i_w^* F = 0$ for all but finitely many w 's
- same with $i_w^!$

(2) $\mathrm{IndShv}_{fg}(\mathrm{Iw} \backslash \mathrm{LG} / \mathrm{Iw})$ is rigid λ -linear.

(3) For every quasi-compact pleural stack X ,

$$\mathrm{IndShv}_{fg}(\mathrm{Iw} \backslash \mathrm{LG} / \mathrm{Iw}) \otimes_1 \mathrm{IndShv}_{fg}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{IndShv}_{fg}(\mathrm{Iw} \backslash \mathrm{LG} / \mathrm{Iw} \times X)$$

Proof is similar to the previous one (using Cor. 10.143 for (3)). Here the unit is ~~not~~ compact so the category is rigid.

(3) Recall that $\mathrm{Iw} = \mathrm{Iw}^u \times \mathrm{J}_k$

(uses monodromic sheaves from talk by Alexandre)

We consider $\mathrm{Iw}^u \backslash \mathrm{LG} / \mathrm{Iw}^u \hookrightarrow \mathrm{J}_k \times \mathrm{J}_k$

$$\boxed{\mathrm{Shv}_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathrm{Iw}^u \backslash \mathrm{LG} / \mathrm{Iw}^u)} = \mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{J}_k \times \mathrm{J}_k, \mathrm{mon}) \backslash (\mathrm{Iw}^u \backslash \mathrm{LG} / \mathrm{Iw}^u))$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} \text{monodromic} \\ \text{affine Hecke} \\ \text{category} \end{array} \right) = \mathrm{Shv}((\mathrm{J}_k^{\mathrm{mon}}) \backslash (\mathrm{Iw}^u \backslash \mathrm{LG} / \mathrm{Iw}^u))$$

↑
either for right or for left action.

For $w \in \tilde{W}$, after choosing a lift $\tilde{w} \in N_G(\mathbb{S})(\tilde{F})$ we have $\mathrm{pr}_{\tilde{w}}: \mathrm{Iw}^u \backslash \mathrm{LG}_w / \mathrm{Iw}^u \rightarrow \mathrm{J}_k$, which induces

$$(pr_w)^! : \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(J_k) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(I_w^{u, LGw}/I_w^u)$$

This allows to define

$$\Delta_w^{\text{mon}}, \nabla_w^{\text{mon}} : \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(J_k) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u)$$

In particular we have

$$\tilde{\Delta}_w^{\text{mon}} = \Delta_w^{\text{mon}}(\underset{\substack{\text{unit of} \\ \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(J_k)}}{\text{Ch}_{\text{mon}}}), \quad \tilde{\nabla}_w^{\text{mon}} = \nabla_w^{\text{mon}}(\text{Ch}_{\text{mon}})$$

(*)

Prop (4.44)

- (1) $\text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u)$ is compactly generated, with compact objects
 - (2) This category is semi-rigid $\uparrow$ ~~linear~~ $\text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u) \cap \text{Shv}(I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u)^w$
 - (3) For every prestack X with an action of $\wedge H$ we have
- $$\text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u) \otimes_{\wedge H} \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u \times X)$$

Proof is similar to those of the previous propositions (using Lemma 4.30 for (3)).

By the same construction as for $I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u$, we have a monoidal structure on $\text{Shv}(I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u)$ defined via $(-)_* \circ (-)^!$

for the diagram $I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u \leftarrow I_w^{u, LG} \times^{I_w^u} I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u \rightarrow I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u \times I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u$

The full subcategory $\text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(I_w^{u, LG}/I_w^u)$ is a (non-unital!) monoidal subcategory. Then one argues that $\tilde{\Delta}_e^{\text{mon}} = \tilde{\nabla}_e^{\text{mon}}$ provides a unit, so we get a monoidal structure $\rightarrow$

Alternatively one can ~~obtain~~ obtain this structure from the sheaf theory Shv_{mon} , ~~it~~ since $(I_w \backslash LG / I_w^u, I_k \times I_k) = \text{Cech nerve of } (B(I_w^u), I_k) \rightarrow (B(LG), \{1\})$ is an algebra object.

Later

~~But~~ we will also consider the monoidal category

$$\text{Shv} \left((I_w, \hat{u}) \backslash LG / (I_w, \hat{u}) \right) := \text{Shv} \left(\left(I_w^u \backslash LG / I_w^u \right) \right)_{(I_k \times I_k, u\text{-mon})}$$

Here the unit is

$$\Delta_{e, \hat{u}}^{\text{mon}} = \Delta_e^{\text{mon}}(\text{Ch} \hat{u}) \quad (= \nabla_{e, \hat{u}}^{\text{mon}}) \quad .$$

(called unipotent monodromic affine Hecke category)

Construction: (cf. Ex. 4.36) (with dual torus $\hat{A}$ over Λ)

Recall that for a torus $H^\vee$ we have $R_{I_F^+, \hat{H}} = \text{ind-scheme}$
ind-finite over Λ . (If $\Lambda = \overline{\mathbb{F}_\ell}$ or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_\ell}$, $R_{I_F^+, \hat{H}} = \bigsqcup_{x \in \hat{H}(\Lambda)} \hat{x}$.)

For $\psi: G_m \rightarrow H$ character we have

$$\hat{\psi}: R_{I_F^+, \hat{H}} \rightarrow R_{I_F^+, G_m}$$

We set $\chi_\psi = u \times R_{I_F^+, G_m}$

We have $\boxed{\text{Ch}: \text{IndGh}(R_{I_F^+, \hat{H}}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(H)}$

We have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \text{Ch}(W_{\chi_\psi}) \rightarrow \text{Ch}_{\text{mon}} \rightarrow \text{Ch}_{\text{mon}} \rightarrow 1$$

$$\text{in } \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(H)^{\heartsuit}.$$

For $s \in \tilde{W}$ simple reflection we have an associated ~~not~~ coroot
 $\hat{\alpha}_s: G_m \rightarrow J_k$. We set $\tilde{\chi}_s = \text{Ch}(W_{\hat{\alpha}_s}) \in \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(J_k)$.

Proposition (4.47)

Let $L, L' \in \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(J_k)$

(1) for $wy \in \tilde{W}$ s.t. $\ell(wy) = \ell(w) + \ell(y)$ we have

$$\Delta_w^{\text{mon}}(L) \times^u \Delta_y^{\text{mon}}(L') \simeq \Delta_{wy}^{\text{mon}}(L * w(L'))$$

$$\nabla_w^{\text{mon}}(L) \times^u \nabla_y^{\text{mon}}(L') \simeq \nabla_{wy}^{\text{mon}}(L * w(L')).$$

(2) for $w \in \tilde{W}$ we have canonical isomorphisms

$$\nabla_w^{\text{mon}}(L) \times^u \Delta_{w^{-1}}^{\text{mon}}(L') \simeq \Delta_e^{\text{mon}}(L * w(L')) \simeq \Delta_w^{\text{mon}}(L) \times^u \nabla_{w^{-1}}^{\text{mon}}(L')$$

(3) For $s \in \tilde{W}$ simple reflection we have fiber sequences

$$\nabla_e^{\text{mon}}(L * s(L')) \rightarrow \nabla_s^{\text{mon}}(L) \times^u \nabla_s^{\text{mon}}(L') \rightarrow \nabla_s^{\text{mon}}(L * \tilde{\chi}_s * s(L'))$$

$$\Delta_s^{\text{mon}}(L * \tilde{\chi}_s * s(L')) \rightarrow \Delta_s^{\text{mon}}(L) \times^u \Delta_s^{\text{mon}}(L') \rightarrow \Delta_e^{\text{mon}}(L * s(L')).$$

module stack over $\mathbb{Z}_\ell$
of strongly continuous
 $\hat{H}$ -valued reps of I_F^+ ,
base-changed to Λ
cf. §2.1.2

Idea of proof

This follows from standard arguments

(1) The main ingredients are:

- $LG_w \times^{I_w} LG_y \xrightarrow{\sim} LG_{wy}$ if $\ell(wy) = \ell(w) + \ell(y)$
- Convolution can be defined either using $*$ -pushforward or with $!$ -pushforward (cf. Lemma 4.27)

(2) This reduces to the case w is a simple reflection, hence to an SL_2 -computation. In the end one uses that $H^*(A^1, j_! \Delta_{\text{an}}) = 0$ to show that the complex is supported on $I_w \backslash I_w / I_w$.

(3) Again this is an SL_2 -computation, done in $\text{IndCoh}(R_{I_F}^+, \hat{y}_k)$. $\square$

Affine Deligne-Lusztig theory (§4.3)

Recall the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & \text{recall sublety: induces} & & LG_w / Ad(I_w) \rightarrow I_w \backslash LG_w / I_w \\
 & & & & \uparrow \\
 I_w \backslash LG / I_w & \xleftarrow{\delta} & LG / Ad(I_w) & \xrightarrow{NT} & LG / Ad(LG) \\
 & \swarrow \text{repr. coh. pro-smooth} & \underbrace{\quad}_{\text{Sh}^{\text{loc}}_I} & \downarrow \text{ind-pfp proper} & \underbrace{\quad}_{\text{Isoc}_G}
 \end{array}$$

We set

$$(4.43) \quad \boxed{Ch_{LG, \phi}^{\text{unip}} := (NT)_*^{\text{Indfg}} \circ \delta^{\text{Indfg}, !} : \text{IndShv}_{\text{fg}}(I_w \backslash LG / I_w) \rightarrow \text{IndShv}_{\text{fg}}(\text{Isoc}_G)}$$

Note: $(NT)_*^{\text{Indfg}}$ is well defined because NT is ind-pfp ~~to~~ (cf. comments above (10.62))

cf §10.6.5 ~~that $\delta^{\text{Indfg}, !}$ is well defined because δ is representable in ind-~~pro~~ ~~smooth~~ ~~stacks~~ ~~stacks~~~~ $\delta^{\text{Indfg}, !}$ is well defined because δ is representable in ind-~~pro~~ ~~smooth~~ ~~stacks~~ ~~stacks~~

Since S is repr. ~~in qc plaid stacks~~ $S^{\text{Indfg}!}$ preserves
 Shv_{fg} - since Nt is ind-pff, $(Nt)_*$ ^{Indfg} preserves Shv_{fg}
 (cf Prop. 10.178) - & $\text{Ch}_{\text{LG}, \phi}^{\text{ump}}$ restricts to

$$\text{Shv}_{\text{fg}}(I_w \backslash \text{LG}/I_w) \rightarrow \text{Shv}_{\text{fg}}(\text{Isoc}_G)$$

In fact we have

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Shv}_{\text{fg}}(I_w \backslash \text{LG}/I_w) & \xrightarrow{\text{Ch}_{\text{LG}, \phi}^{\text{ump}}} & \text{Shv}_{\text{fg}}(\text{Isoc}_G) \\ \downarrow \text{(because ind-very plaid stack)} & & \downarrow \text{(Prop. 3.91)} \\ \text{Shv}(I_w \backslash \text{LG}/I_w) & \xrightarrow{(Nt)_* S!} & \text{Shv}(\text{Isoc}_G) \end{array}$$

Variant: We consider

$$I_w^u \backslash \text{LG}/I_w^u \xleftarrow{\delta^u} \text{LG}/\text{Ad}_G(I_w^u) \xrightarrow{Nt^u} \text{LG}/\text{Ad}_G(\text{LG}) \underset{\text{Isoc}_G}{\parallel}$$

$$(4.46) \quad \text{Ch}_{\text{LG}, \phi}^{\text{mon}} := (Nt^u)_* (\delta^u)! : \text{Shv}_{\text{mon}}(I_w \backslash \text{LG}/I_w^u) \rightarrow \text{Shv}(\text{Isoc}_G)$$

We will consider the following objects:

$$R_w^* = \text{Ch}_{\text{LG}, \phi}^{\text{ump}}(\nabla_{w-1})$$

$$R_w^! = \text{Ch}_{\text{LG}, \phi}^{\text{ump}}(\Delta_{w-1})$$

$$Nt_* \tilde{I}_w^* \omega_{\text{Sht}_w^{\text{bc}}}[-l(w)]$$

$$Nt_* \tilde{I}_w^! \omega_{\text{Sht}_w^{\text{bc}}}[-l(w)]$$

standard
base change
thm

for $\text{Sht}_w^{\text{bc}} = \text{LG}_w/\text{Ad}_G I_w \xrightarrow{\tilde{I}_w} \text{Sht}_w^{\text{bc}} = \text{LG}/\text{Ad}_G I_w$

$\downarrow \delta$

$$I_w \backslash \text{LG}_w/I_w \hookrightarrow I_w \backslash \text{LG}/I_w$$

base change thm
with $(-)^!$ and $(-)^*$, which
applies since S is ~~co~~ coh-ps
(cf. Prop. 10.145)